NYSCEF DOC. NO. 102

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	HON. LYLE E. FRANK		PART	11 M
		Justice		
		X	INDEX NO.	152847/2023
MICHAEL MULGREW, ADVOCATES FOR JUST LEGAL FOUNDATION, COREY HAMILTON, ER NAIRNE-HAMILTON, ELIZABETH WEINERT, AI		RICA	MOTION DATE	03/28/2023
			MOTION SEQ. NO.	001
	Petitioner,			
	- V -			
THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF THE CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, DAVID C. BANKS,			DECISION + ORDER ON MOTION	
	Respondent.			
		X		
	g e-filed documents, listed by NYSCEF d 2, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 87, 88, 89, 90	ocument nur	mber (Motion 001) 2	5, 29, 34, 35, 47,
were read on	this motion to/for	PREL INJU	JNCTION/TEMP RES	ST ORDR .
Petiti	ioners seek an order from this Court (1) declaring	that Respondents'	failure to
comply with	Education Law §2590-h, §2590-g, §2	2853, and C	hancellor's Regula	tion A-190 is
arbitrary and	capricious; (2) annulling the votes of	the Panel f	For Educational Pol	icy ("PEP") to
change the u	tilization of challenged buildings in E	rooklyn an	d Queens; and (3) of	ordering
Respondents	to comply with their obligations und	er Educatio	n Law §2590- h, §2	2590-g, §2853
and Chancel	lor's Regulation A-190. Respondents	cross-move	e to dismiss the peti	tion on various
grounds incl	uding that petitioners have failed to early	xhaust admi	inistrative remedies	and that
petitioners h	ave failed to join a necessary party. F	or the follow	wing reasons, the re	espondents cross-
motion is gra	anted.			
Exhaustion 1	Doctrine			

Preliminarily, respondents contend that petitioners have failed to exhaust its administrative remedies pursuant to Education Law § 310(7) before proceeding to court.

152847/2023 Motion No. 001

Respondents aver that the instant petition involves a dispute regarding education policy that must be addressed in the "first instance by the Commissioner." In support of this argument, respondents cite to the First Department's decision in *Mulgrew v Board of Educ. of the City School Dist.*, 88 AD3d 72 [1st Dept 2011] ("*Mulgrew* II"), where the Court held that Article 78 relief may not be granted where the petitioner has failed to exhaust administrative remedies.

Petitioners contend that this petition is based on statutory interpretation, thus it does not fall within the purview of the Commissioner of Education. In support of this argument, petitioners rely on the First Department's decision in *Mulgrew v Bd. of Educ. of the City Sch. Dist. of the City of NY*, 75 AD3d 412, 414 [1st Dept 2010] ("*Mulgrew* I"), where the Court nullified a PEP vote because the Department of Education failed to comply with the education law. However, to this Court, *Mulgrew II*, which was decided later, is controlling in this instance.

Education Law § 310(7) provides in pertinent part that an aggrieved party "may appeal by petition to the commissioner of education" regarding any action taken by "any officer, school authorities, or meetings ... or any other act pertaining to common schools."

Respondents urge this Court to read the statute as compulsory. The First Department explicitly held in *Mulgrew* II that exhaustion was required for complaints, even without the "explicit sole and exclusive remedy clause section 211-d (2) (b) (ii)" *Mulgrew* 88 AD3d at 80.

The Court finds that the legislature has made it clear, and as is emphasized in *Mulgrew* II, the State Commissioner of Education, an independent actor not within the government in which petitioners are aggrieved by, maintains original jurisdiction over claims of alleged failure to comply with the Education Law. Accordingly, the Court does not reach the parties remaining contentions and it is hereby

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 102

/

ORDERED that the temporary restraining order issued by this Court is hereby lifted; and

it is further

ORDERED that the petition is dismissed.

8/10/2023		20230811133257LFR.NK604577FBB2034BC9A16E6C975F93A29C
DATE	_	LYLE E. FRANK, J.S.C.
CHECK ONE:	X CASE DISPOSED	NON-FINAL DISPOSITION
	X GRANTED DENIED	GRANTED IN PART OTHER
APPLICATION:	SETTLE ORDER	SUBMIT ORDER
CHECK IF APPROPRIATE:	INCLUDES TRANSFER/REASSIGN	FIDUCIARY APPOINTMENT REFERENCE