

February 23, 2015

Hon. Letitia James Public Advocate for the City of New York 1 Centre Street, Floor 15 North New York, NY 10007

Hon. Daniel Dromm Chair, Committee on Education New York City Council, Suite 1826 250 Broadway New York, NY 10007

Ms. Leonie Haimson Executive Director Class Size Matters 124 Waverly Place New York City, NY 10011

Dear Public Advocate James, Chair Dromm, and Ms. Haimson:

We were forwarded a copy of the letter you sent to Panel for Educational Policy (PEP) members, Ms. Vanessa Leung and Mr. Robert Powell, regarding an IT contract that the PEP will be asked to approve at its meeting on February 25, 2015. In that letter, you raise concerns regarding the transparency of our contracting process and, in particular, the Department of Education's (DOE) failure to share information with sufficient lead times both for the public and PEP members themselves. While our current practices are both compliant with applicable legal requirements and consistent with past practice, we are reviewing these practices with an eye toward making relevant information available earlier.

The specific contract in question is important for our schools in that it provides for the information technology networking hardware and installation services we need to ensure that our schools take full advantage of the latest technology, security updates, and internet services. Our schools will see significant and tangible benefits from this contract, and we expect that Federal reimbursements will defray over \$100 million of its cost.

Moreover, the actual procurement process was conducted in full compliance with both DOE and Federal procurement requirements; a copy of the Request for Bids accompanies this letter. In particular, the procurement was publicly advertised, bid documents (including our requirements and specifications) were available for nearly two months before bids were due, and the bids were opened publicly. While there is only one proposed awardee, we invited separate bids for each borough, and were fully prepared to award separate contracts for each borough if that proved to be advantageous. We received four bids for each of the five boroughs. These bids were carefully reviewed by DOE staff members to ensure that the

The dates that appear on the cover page of the RFB do not reflect the final dates for the steps in the procurement process. We advanced the date of the pre-bidders conference to December 1, 2014, in order to ensure that we had sufficient time to respond to questions from proposed bidders. We extended the date for submission of bids to January 12, 2015, in response to requests for additional time to prepare bid responses.



pricing was competitive and cost-effective and that the selected vendor(s) would be fully capable of providing products and services that meet our requirements.

With that said, please note that we shared preliminary information on the proposed contract before we completed negotiations on price. Since then, however, we have successfully negotiated an over 40% reduction from the contract's initial estimated cost. The estimated annual amount of the contract is \$127.5 million, and the total amount over five years is \$637.4 million. With E-Rate funds, the total amount will drop to about \$515 million. Even when not taking the E-Rate discount into account, the contract's estimated total is slightly lower than what DOE currently pays for these services.

I hope this information has been helpful. Thank you for your inquiry.

Sincerely,

Ursulina Ramirez Chief of Staff

UR:dr

Encl: DOE Bid Document

C: Vanessa Leung, Chair, PEP
Robert Powell, Chair, PEP Contracts Committee
Fred Baptiste, PEP Member
Isaac Carmignani, PEP Member
T. Elzora Cleveland, PEP Member
Deborah Dillingham, PEP Member
Norm Fruchter, PEP Member
Kamillah Payne-Hanks, PEP Member
Lori Podvesker, PEP Member
Roberto Soto-Carrión, PEP Member
Laura Zingmond, PEP Member
D. Miguelina Zorrilla-Aristy, PEP Member